

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

---

Master File No. 12-md-02311  
Hon. Marianne O. Battani  
Mag. Judge Majzoub

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO  
ALL ACTIONS

---

**MOTION AND BRIEF OF THE UNITED STATES FOR AN ORDER TO  
SEAL AND FILE *EX PARTE* DECLARATION OF MARK GRUNDTVIG**

The United States, by and through the undersigned attorneys of the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, moves pursuant to Rules 6(e) and 16(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure for an Order to seal and file *ex parte* the Declaration of Mark Grundvig (Attachment H), submitted in support of the Motion and Brief of the United States to Intervene and for a Temporary and Limited Stay of Certain Discovery in the above-captioned case.

Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 6(e) prohibits the disclosure of “a matter occurring before the grand jury.” Federal courts have concluded that the phrase

matters occurring before the grand jury” is more expansive than just information drawn from transcripts of grand jury proceedings. *See In re Grand Jury Investigation, Lance v. U.S. Dep’t of Justice*, 610 F.2d 202, 216 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1980). Rule 6(e) protects information about events that have occurred before the Grand Jury or events that will occur based upon ongoing criminal investigations that may be presented to a grand jury at a later date, including the identity of persons who might be called to testify. *See U.S. v. Emilor*, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 40870, at \*8-11 (E.D. Tex. May 21, 2008) (overruling objections to Magistrate’s decision permitting government to file *ex parte in camera* affidavit). The scope of secrecy afforded to grand jury materials is necessarily broad. *Church of Scientology Int’l v. U.S. Dep’t of Justice*, 30 F.3d 224, 235 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1994).

The government routinely apprises federal courts of the status of its ongoing investigations through *ex parte*, sealed declarations. *See U.S. v. John Doe*, 429 F.3d 450, 452 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 2005) (government provided sealed affidavit of Department of Justice trial attorney setting forth details of four year grand jury investigation). This is particularly true where the disclosure of sensitive and confidential information would interfere with ongoing criminal investigations. *See In re: Sealed Case*, 856

F.2d 268, 270-71 (D.C. Cir. 1988) (Department of Justice permitted to file affidavit *ex parte* and under seal in support of its motion to intervene).

It is well-established that a district court may read *in camera* submissions from the government where an interest in grand jury secrecy is at issue. *SEC v. Downe*, 1993 U.S. Dist LEXIS 753, at \*40-41 (S.D.N.Y. 1993) (permitting sealed, *ex parte* filing of affidavit in support of motion to intervene and stay discovery); *see also In re Doe*, 711 F.2d 1187, 1194 (2d Cir. 1983) (noting federal court approval of *in camera* submissions by government in situations where “an ongoing interest in grand jury secrecy is at stake”). Similarly, Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(d)(1) states: “At any time the court may, for good cause, deny, restrict or defer discovery or inspection, or grant other appropriate relief. The court may permit a party to show good cause by written statement that the court will inspect *ex parte*.” *See United States v. Jordan*, 316 F.3d 1215, 1252 (11th Cir. 2003).

The Declaration of Mark Grundvig contains detailed information regarding the Division’s investigation and the grand jury investigation into the auto parts industry. The Division is providing this information to the Court to assist it in determining the extent of any stay of discovery that should be implemented in the parallel civil

proceedings to the Division's criminal investigation. The information is highly sensitive to the ongoing criminal investigation. Disclosure of this information would not only violate Fed. R. Crim. P. (6)(e), but would disclose the workings of the grand jury. Pursuant to the Federal Criminal Rules, this information cannot be shared with the Plaintiff in this matter, the Defendants, their counsel, or the public.

The Declaration should therefore be permitted to be filed *ex parte* and kept under seal to prevent disclosure of "matters occurring before the grand jury" pursuant to Rule 6(e)(6) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Local Counsel:

BARBARA L. McQUADE  
United States Attorney

s/Peter A. Caplan  
PETER A. CAPLAN  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
211 W. Fort Street, Ste. 2001  
Detroit, MI 48226  
(313) 226-9784  
P30643  
Email: [peter.caplan@usdoj.gov](mailto:peter.caplan@usdoj.gov)

w/consent of Paul T. Gallagher  
Trial Attorney  
National Criminal Enforcement Section  
Antitrust Division  
United States Department of Justice  
450 5<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 11300  
Washington, DC 20530  
(202) 532-4570  
[paul.gallagher2@usdoj.gov](mailto:paul.gallagher2@usdoj.gov)

Date: July 8, 2013

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS

Master File No. 12-md-02311

ANTITRUST LITIGATION

---

Hon. Marianne O. Battani  
Mag. Judge Majzoub

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO  
ALL ACTIONS

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on July 8, 2013, I caused the foregoing Motion and Brief of the United States for an Order to Seal and File *Ex Parte* Declaration of Mark Grundvig to be served upon all parties of record by filing said document via ECF.

s/Peter A. Caplan  
PETER A. CAPLAN  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
211 W. Fort Street, Ste. 2001  
Detroit, MI 48226  
(313)226-9784  
P30643  
Email: [peter.caplan@usdoj.gov](mailto:peter.caplan@usdoj.gov)